Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996). Cell refinement: *SMART*. Data reduction: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997*a*). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997*b*). Molecular graphics: *SCHAKAL*88 (Keller, 1988) and *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PLATON* (Spek, 1990).

The authors gratefully acknowledge support of this work by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, Bonn; grant No. Lu222/22-1) and by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (Frankfurt-am-Main).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1312). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Görbitz, C. H. & Dalhus, B. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 1756-1759.
- Harding, M. M. & Long, H. A. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 1096-1102. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge
- National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA. Keller, E. (1988). SCHAKAL88. Fortran Program for the Graphic Representation of Molecular and Crystallographic Models. University of Freiburg, Germany.
- Kerr, K. A. & Ashmore, J. P. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 2124–2127.
- Kerr, K. A., Ashmore, J. P. & Koetzle, T. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 2022–2026.
- Schomaker, V. & Trueblood, K. N. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 63-77.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997a). SHELXS97. Program for the Solution of

Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Siemens (1996). SMART and SAINT. Area Detector Control and Integration Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1885-1888

1:1 Adducts of 4-picoline with methylcatecholborane and phenylcatecholborane

WILLIAM CLEGG,^{*a*} ANDREW J. SCOTT,^{*a*} FÁBIO E. S. SOUZA^{*b*} AND TODD B. MARDER^{*c*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, England, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, England. E-mail: w.clegg@ ncl.ac.uk

(Received 25 June 1999; accepted 28 July 1999)

Abstract

In the title compounds, 2 - methyl - 2 - (4 - methyl - pyridine) - 1,3,2 - benzodioxaborole, $C_{13}H_{14}BNO_2$, and

2-(4-methylpyridine)-2-phenyl-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole, $C_{18}H_{16}BNO_2$, boron has a distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry, the two O-B-C angles being greater and all other angles at boron smaller than the ideal tetrahedral value. Both structures contain two crystallographically independent molecules, and all corresponding geometrical parameters in them are essentially the same, except for minor torsional variations. Bond lengths involving boron are similar to those of related adducts of phenylcatecholborane, and longer than those in the parent compound itself and related compounds with trigonal planar boron.

Comment

In conjunction with our recent work on coordination of phosphines and amines to diborane(4) compounds (Nguyen et al., 1995; Clegg et al., 1997), we have also investigated the formation of adducts between Lewis bases and organoboranes containing the catecholboryl unit bound to alkyl and aryl groups. Because of their facile preparation (Wieber & Künzel, 1974), methyland phenylcatecholboranes were chosen as model substrates. NMR studies of these three-coordinate boron compounds have been reported by Goetz et al. (1981). Our findings show a similar Lewis acidity to that observed for the diborane(4) compound $B_2(cat)_2$ (cat = $1.2-O_2C_6H_4$). Thus, no evidence for adduct formation was observed in reactions between the catecholboryl derivatives and phosphines, even with the highly basic PMe₃, and only amines were capable of coordinating to the B atom. We report here the structures of the adducts 2-methyl-2-(4'-methylpyridine)-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole, (1), and 2-phenyl-2-(4'-methylpyridine)-1,3,2-benzodioxaborole, (2), formed between these organoboranes and 4-picoline. These adducts have been discussed previously (Wieber & Künzel, 1974), but only very limited data were reported for them.



Both crystal structures contain two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit (Figs. 1 and 2). In each case there are no significant differences between the two molecules other than unimportant variations in the orientations of substituents attached to boron as measured by torsion angles around B—C and B—N bonds. Corresponding molecular-geometry parameters for the two compounds are also essentially the same (Tables 1 and 2). There are no particularly short intermolecular contacts. The B atom in each case has distorted tetrahedral coordination; the catecholate chelating group gives an O—B—O angle which is smaller than the ideal tetrahedral angle, and the two O—B—C angles are several degrees higher than 109.5° in each individual molecule, all other angles at boron being reduced from the ideal value.



Fig. 1. The two independent molecules of (1) with atom labels and 50% probability ellipsoids for non-H atoms.

The crystal structure of the parent phenylcatecholborane has been reported by Zettler et al. (1974). It contains trigonal-planar boron, with B---O bonds of 1.394 and B-C of 1.537 Å. Essentially the same geometry is found in a series of compounds in which the catecholborane unit is bonded to alkenes, resulting from catalytic addition of B₂(cat)₂ to alkynes (Clegg et al., 1996; Lesley et al., 1996); in these, B--C ranges from 1.527 to 1.570 Å, and B-O from 1.380 to 1.393 Å. Marginally shorter bonds are found in an alkyne derivative (Schulz et al., 1993): B-C 1.522, B-O 1.375 and 1.380 Å. Adduct formation with 4-picoline, raising the boron coordination number from 3 to 4 in the title compounds, markedly lengthens these bonds, with B-C 1.581-1.593 Å and B-O 1.464-1.487 Å. A similar effect is seen in two other reported adducts: a benzylamine adduct (Reetz et al., 1992) has B-O 1.465 and 1.473 and B-C 1.623 Å (the phenyl group attached to boron in this case is part of a crown ether, and steric constraints probably lead to additional lengthening), and



Fig. 2. The two independent molecules of (2) with atom labels and 50% probability ellipsoids for non-H atoms.

an adduct involving chelation by a carbonyl-O atom (Liu *et al.*, 1995) has B—O 1.465 and 1.475 and B—C 1.588 Å. Other geometrical parameters within the BO_2C_2 ring vary much less in these compounds, the major changes being at the B atom itself.

Experimental

The two adducts (1) and (2) were prepared by mixing the appropriate catecholborane (1 mmol) with 4-picoline (93 mg, 1 mmol) in CDCl₃ (1 ml). NMR spectroscopic characterization was carried out *in situ*. Crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of the solvent.

NMR data (CDCl₃ solution, p.p.m.) for (1): ¹H: δ 0.37 (s, 3H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 6.76 (m, 4H), 7.36 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 8.57 $(d, J = 7 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}); {}^{13}\text{C}\{^{1}\text{H}\}: \delta 21.3, 110.1, 119.5, 126.1, 142.9,$ 150.8, 153.6; ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H{}: \delta$ 16.4, and for (2): ${}^{1}H: \delta$ 2.36 (s, 3H), 6.81 (m, 4H), 7.17 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (m, 2H), 7.55 (m, 3H), 8.57 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$: δ 21.0, 110.0, 119.1, 125.3, 126.8, 127.3, 127.5, 131.3, 145.9, 151.3; ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H{}$: δ 11.9.

Compound (1)

Crystal data

C₁₃H₁₄BNO₂ $M_r = 227.06$ Orthorhombic Phea a = 16.3206(7) Å b = 15.6683(7) Å c = 19.3267(9) Å V = 4942.1 (4) Å³ Z = 16 $D_x = 1.221 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m not measured

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer ω rotation with narrow frames Absorption correction: none 28 685 measured reflections 5939 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.118$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.062	Extinction correction:
5939 reflections	SHELXTL (Sheldrick,
312 parameters	1994)
H-atom parameters	Extinction coefficient:
constrained	0.00133 (19)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0472P)^2]$	Scattering factors from
+ 1.8759 <i>P</i>]	International Tables for
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (1)

BI-OI I.4816(18) B2-O3	1.4804 (18)
B1—O2 1.4716 (19) B2—O4	1.4865 (18)
B1—C7 1.587 (2) B2—C20	1.588 (2)
B1-N1 1.660 (2) B2-N2	1.6444 (19)
O1-C1 1.3668 (16) O3-C14	1.3655 (16)
O2—C2 1.3637 (17) O4—C15	1.3633 (16)
O2-B1-O1 105.88 (11) O3-B2-O4	105.55 (11)
O2-B1-C7 115.83 (14) O3-B2-C20	115.87 (13)
O1—B1—C7 116.38 (12) O4—B2—C20	114.71 (12)
O2—B1—N1 104.95 (11) O3—B2—N2	106.27 (10)
O1—B1—N1 105.98 (11) O4—B2—N2	105.94 (11)
C7—B1—N1 106.82 (12) C20—B2—N2	107.77(11)
C1O1B1 104.98 (10) C14O3B2	105.91 (10)
C2O2B1 105.30(10) C15O4B2	106.13 (10)
C7-B1-N1-C8 -89.16(15) C20-B2-N2-C21	- 104.60 (15)
C7—B1—N1—C12 82.67 (15) C20—B2—N2—C25	70.25 (16)

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 17 365 reflections $\theta = 2.09 - 28.32^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.081 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 160(2) KBlock $0.70 \times 0.42 \times 0.32$ mm Colourless

4863 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.029$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.52^{\circ}$ $h = -21 \rightarrow 21$ $k = -20 \rightarrow 19$ $l = -25 \rightarrow 17$ Intensity decay: none

> k, n for

C18H16BNO2 $M_r = 289.13$ Triclinic $P\overline{1}$

Crystal data

Compound (2)

a = 9.7290 (13) Å b = 10.3311 (14) Åc = 15.589 (2) Å $\alpha = 91.087 (3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 106.477 (3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 94.123 (3)^{\circ}$ V = 1497.4 (3) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.283 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m not measured

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer ω rotation with narrow frames Absorption correction: none 9343 measured reflections 6486 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$ $wR(F^2) = 0.167$ S = 0.8726486 reflections 400 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0772P)^2]$ where $P = (F_{0}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 4970 reflections $\theta = 1.97 - 27.81^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.082 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 160 (2) KBlock $0.34 \times 0.18 \times 0.16$ mm Colourless

3266 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.045$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.43^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -20 \rightarrow 16$ Intensity decay: none

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.31 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.47 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994) Extinction coefficient: 0.017(2)Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters $(Å, \circ)$ for (2)

B101	1.481 (3)	B203	1 478 (3)
B1	1.464 (3)	B2-04	1.477 (4)
B1-C13	1.593 (4)	B2-C31	1.581 (4)
B1-N1	1.651 (3)	B2	1.654 (4)
01-C1	1.366 (3)	O3-C19	1.367 (3)
O2—C2	1.384 (3)	O4-C20	1.371 (3)
O2-B1-O1	106.0 (2)	O4—B2—O3	105.8 (2)
O2-B1-C13	117.3 (2)	Q4—B2—C31	116.2 (2)
O1-B1-C13	113.9 (2)	O3-B2-C31	116.2 (2)
O2-B1-N1	105.25 (19)	O4—B2—N2	106.3 (2)
01-B1-N1	105.17 (19)	O3—B2—N2	104.91 (19)
C13—B1—N1	108.21 (19)	C31—B2—N2	106.4 (2)
C1	105.18 (19)	C19-O3-B2	105.6 (2)
C2—O2—B1	105.22 (19)	C20	105.58 (19)
C13—B1—N1—C11	92.6 (3)	C31—B2—N2—C25	95.7 (3)
C13—B1—N1—C7	-83.8(3)	C31—B2—N2—C29	-77.6 (3)
NI-BI-C13-C14	126.7 (2)	N2-B2-C31-C32	114.4 (2)
N1-B1-C13-C18	-52.4 (3)	N2-B2-C31-C36	-64.1(3)

H atoms were placed geometrically and refined with a riding model (including free rotation about C-C bonds), and with $U_{\rm iso}$ constrained to be 1.2 (1.5 for methyl groups) times $U_{\rm eq}$ of the carrier atom.

For both compounds, data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: local programs; data reduction: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structures: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1994); program(s) used to refine structures: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and local programs.

We thank EPSRC (UK) and NSERC (Canada) for financial support.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1357). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Clegg, W., Dai, C., Lawlor, F. J., Marder, T. B., Nguyen, P., Norman, N. C., Pickett, N. L., Power, W. P. & Scott, A. J. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 839–846.
- Clegg, W., Scott, A. J., Lesley, G., Marder, T. B. & Norman, N. C. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 1991–1995.
- Goetz, R., Nöth, H., Pommerening, H., Sedlak, D. & Wrackmeyer, B. (1981). Chem. Ber. 114, 1884–1893.
- Lesley, G., Nguyen, P., Taylor, N. J., Marder, T. B., Scott, A. J., Clegg, W. & Norman, N. C. (1996). Organometallics, 15, 5137-5154.
- Liu, X.-C., Hubbard, J. L. & Scouten, W. H. (1995). J. Organomet. Chem. 493, 91-94.
- Nguyen, P., Dai, C., Taylor, N. J., Power, W. P., Marder, T. B., Pickett, N. L. & Norman, N. C. (1995). *Inorg. Chem.* **34**, 4290–4291.
- Reetz, M. T., Niemeyer, C. M., Hermes, M. & Goddard, R. (1992). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 31, 1017–1019.
- Schulz, H., Gabbert, G., Pritzkow, H. & Siebert, W. (1993). Chem. Ber. 126, 1593–1595.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). SHELXTL. Version 5.0. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1995). SMART and SAINT. Area Detector Control and Integration Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Wieber, V. M. & Künzel, W. (1974). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 403, 107–115.
- Zettler, F., Hausen, H. D. & Hess, H. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 1876– 1878.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1888-1889

An *o*-xylyl cross-bridged 5,12-dioxocyclam

TIMOTHY J. HUBIN,^{*a*} NICKOLAY TYRYSHKIN,^{*a*} NATHANIEL W. ALCOCK^{*b*} AND DARYLE H. BUSCH^{*a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, England. E-mail: msrbb@csv.warwick.ac.uk

(Received 7 June 1999; accepted 29 June 1999)

Abstract

The preparation and crystal structure of 1,10,13,18tetraazatricyclo[8.6.6.0^{3,8}]docosa-3,5,7-triene-11,17dione monohydrate, $C_{18}H_{26}N_4O_2 \cdot H_2O$, are described. The molecule has a U-shaped conformation, with the N atoms well oriented for metal complexation.

Comment

The compound 1, 10, 13, 19-tetraazatricyclo[8.6.6.0^{3,8}]docosa-3,5,7-triene-14,20-dione was synthesized during our study of the transition metal complexes of crossbridged tetraazamacrocycles (Hubin et al., 1998). Initially, our research centred on ethylene cross-bridged tetraazamacrocycles (Weisman et al., 1996), but it has recently expanded to include macrocycles bridged by other groups and macrocycles containing amide groups. Recent work by others (Denat et al., 1997) describes bis-amide macrocycles and corresponding reduced tetraamine species that have been cross-bridged by five-atom groups derived from *m*-xylene and 2,6-dimethylpyridine bis-electrophiles. Although no crystal structures of these molecules were reported, we considered that a four-atom bridged analogue would be more effective as a rigid ligand. 1,10,13,19-Tetraazatricyclo[8.6.6.0^{3,8}]docosa-3,5,7triene-14,20-dione was synthesized as the monohydrate, (1), by the high-dilution reaction of α , α' -dibromo-o-xylene and trans-diprotected 5,12-dioxocyclam (Tomalia & Wilson, 1985) and its crystal structure determined.



No other cross-bridged 5,12-dioxocyclams have been structurally characterized to date, although we have recently determined the crystal structure of unbridged unsubstituted 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane-5,12-dione, (2), and its Ni¹¹ complex (Hubin *et al.*, 1999). The structures of several bis-linked substituted 5,12-dioxocyclam ligands and their Ni¹¹ complexes have been determined (Dumas *et al.*, 1995); these could also be regarded as bridged dioxocyclams.

In (1), the dioxocyclam has a U-shaped conformation, with the C=O groups pointing outwards and with the o-xylyl bridge spanning the base of the U (Fig. 1). Compared with the unbridged species, this molecule has a clearly defined cavity in which the nitrogen donors appear to be well oriented for complexation. The bridges in the linked dioxocyclams characterized by Dumas *et al.* (1995) are much longer than that in (1) and the macrocycles have extended rather than U-shaped conformations, similar to those in the unsubstituted complex.

The asymmetric unit of (1) contains one water molecule, which is hydrogen bonded to one of the C=O groups [O11...O001 2.852(2)Å] and to a symmetry-